

Imaging of diffuse H I absorption structure in the SSA22 proto-cluster region at $z = 3.1$

Ken Mawatari,^{1*} Akio K. Inoue,¹ Toru Yamada,² Tomoki Hayashino,³
Takuya Otsuka,⁴ Yuichi Matsuda,⁵ Hideki Umehata,^{6,7} Masami Ouchi⁸
and Shiro Mukae^{8,9}

¹*College of General Education, Osaka Sangyo University, 3-1-1, Nakagaito, Daito, Osaka, 574-8530, Japan*

²*Institute of Space Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, Sagami-hara, Kanagawa 252-5210, Japan*

³*Research Center for Neutrino Science, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi, 980-8578, Japan*

⁴*Astronomical Institute, Tohoku University, Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi, 980-8578, Japan*

⁵*National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, Osawa 2-21-1, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan*

⁶*The Open University of Japan, 2-11 Wakaba, Mihama-ku, Chiba-shi, Chiba, 261-8586, Japan*

⁷*Institute of Astronomy, The University of Tokyo, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-0015, Japan*

⁸*Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, The University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8582, Japan*

⁹*Department of Astronomy, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Using galaxies as background light sources to map intervening Ly α absorption is a novel approach to study the interplay among galaxies, the circum-galactic medium (CGM), and the intergalactic medium (IGM). Introducing a new measure of $z = 3.1$ H I Ly α absorption relative to the cosmic mean, $\Delta NB497$, estimated from photometric data of star-forming galaxies at $3.3 \lesssim z \lesssim 3.5$, we have made two-dimensional $\Delta NB497$ maps in the $z = 3.1$ SSA22 proto-cluster region and two control fields (SXDS and GOODS-N fields) with a spatial resolution of ~ 5 comoving Mpc. The $\Delta NB497$ measurements in the SSA22 field are systematically larger than those in the control fields, and this H I absorption enhancement extends more than 50 comoving Mpc. The field-averaged (i.e., ~ 50 comoving Mpc scale) $\Delta NB497$ and the overdensity of Ly α emitters (LAEs) seem to be correlated, while there is no clear dependency of the $\Delta NB497$ on the local LAE overdensity in a few comoving Mpc scale. These results suggest that diffuse H I gas spreads out in/around the SSA22 proto-cluster. We have also found an enhancement of $\Delta NB497$ at a projected distance < 100 physical kpc from the nearest $z = 3.1$ galaxies at least in the SSA22 field, which is probably due to H I gas associated with the CGM of individual galaxies. The H I absorption enhancement in the CGM-scale tends to be weaker around galaxies with stronger Ly α emission, which suggests that the Ly α escape fraction from galaxies depends on hydrogen neutrality in the CGM.

Key words: galaxies: high-redshift — intergalactic medium — cosmology: large scale structure of Universe

1 INTRODUCTION

Galaxy formation occurs through assembly of gaseous matter followed by star-formation in the dark matter large-scale structure (“Cosmic Web”). The dark matter structure formation, which is strictly governed by theory of the gravity, has been well predicted in the many theoretical and numerical works (e.g., White & Rees 1978; Davis et al. 1985; Springel et al. 2005; Mo et al. 2010). The star-formation

and stellar component of galaxies are the most common observable and routinely studied (e.g., Santini et al. 2009; Daddi et al. 2010; Genzel et al. 2010; Smit et al. 2012; Madau & Dickinson 2014). On the other hand, the assembly of gaseous matter from the “Cosmic web” of the intergalactic medium (IGM) onto galaxies is still a rarely explored territory. The kinetic, radiative, and chemical feedbacks from galaxies play an important role in the IGM metal enrichment, as well as in the formation of galaxies themselves (e.g., Scannapieco et al. 2002; Tremonti et al. 2004; Simcoe et al. 2006; Aguirre & Schaye 2007; Kobayashi et al.

* E-mail: mawatari@las.osaka-sandai.ac.jp

2007; Cen & Chisari 2011). Understanding the interplay of gas between galaxies and the “Cosmic Web”, especially behavior of gas in the circum-galactic scale (circum-galactic medium; CGM), is essential to develop a complete galaxy formation and evolution theory.

The relation between galaxies and neutral hydrogen (H I) gas in the IGM/CGM has been investigated spectroscopically with the Ly α absorption imprinted in spectra of background QSOs (e.g., Adelberger et al. 2003; Rudie et al. 2012; Rakic et al. 2012; Turner et al. 2014; Crighton et al. 2015; Mukae et al. 2016) and star-forming galaxies (e.g., Adelberger et al. 2005; Steidel et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2016; Mawatari et al. 2016). Some authors recently discovered an enhancement of the H I absorption associated with proto-clusters at $z = 2 - 3$ by using QSO sight-lines (Cai et al. 2016) or by stacking multiple galaxies’ spectra (Cucciati et al. 2014; Hayashino et al. in prep), which is also expected from numerical simulations (Stark et al. 2015). More generally, Mukae et al. (2016) found a weak correlation between the strength of the IGM H I absorption and the galaxy number density by analysing QSO spectra as background light sources and large number of photo- z objects as foreground galaxies. Lee et al. (2014, 2016) established a novel approach to resolve the H I gas structure on Mpc scales by analysing the Ly α forest in individual spectra of background star-forming galaxies. They applied this three-dimensional H I reconstruction scheme (IGM H I tomography) to star-forming galaxies in the COSMOS field and found a correlation between galaxy overdensity and H I absorption enhancement (Lee et al. 2016). The CGM structure has also been investigated by analysing the H I absorption as a function of the projected distance between the background sight-line object and the nearest foreground galaxy. An H I absorption enhancement was detected out to ~ 2 physical Mpc from Lyman Break Galaxies (LBGs) at $z = 2 - 3$ (Rakic et al. 2012; Rudie et al. 2012; Turner et al. 2014). The CGM gas kinematics were also investigated by combining properties of the H I and metal absorption (e.g., Turner et al. 2016), which suggest the clumpy and outflowing nature (Steidel et al. 2010; Crighton et al. 2015).

Investigating a relation between galaxies and H I gas in an extremely high density region is interesting in the context of both the large-scale structure formation and the environmental dependency of CGM properties. The SSA22 field, which we focus in this paper, contains one of the largest high density structures observed so far at high redshift. We previously performed a very wide-field imaging of $z = 3.1$ Ly α emitters (LAEs) with Subaru/Suprime-Cam (Miyazaki et al. 2002) seven contiguous field-of-views (FoVs), showing that the prominently high density structure of LAEs is stretched over ~ 50 comoving Mpc (Yamada et al. 2012a). Even at > 50 comoving Mpc far from the LAE density peak, the LAE density averaged over a Suprime-Cam single FoV scale is larger than that estimated in control fields. Spectroscopy of the “proto-cluster” member galaxies revealed that the SSA22 large-scale structure seems to be a complex of filamentary structures and distinct clumps of galaxies in three-dimensional space (Matsuda et al. 2005; Topping et al. 2016). These geometrical properties suggest that the SSA22 proto-cluster is an ancestor of local superclusters or Great Wall (Yamada et al. 2012a). Significant number density excesses of photometrically se-

lected Distant Red Galaxies (DRGs) and Submillimeter Galaxies (SMGs) were also reported (Tamura et al. 2009; Uchimoto et al. 2012; Kubo et al. 2013; Umehata et al. 2014), which were confirmed spectroscopically in the central small ($\lesssim 20$ arcmin²) area (Kubo et al. 2015; Umehata et al. 2015). This suggest that assembly of massive galaxies already proceeded at least in the central region of the $z = 3.1$ proto-cluster.

In the SSA22 field, we conducted a deep spectroscopic survey of LBGs using the VLT/VIMOS (Le Fèvre et al. 2003), and found a good positive-correlation between the LBG number density and the IGM H I absorption in a redshift range of $2.5 \lesssim z \lesssim 3.5$ (Hayashino et al. in prep). While a prominent H I absorption enhancement was confirmed at the proto-cluster redshift we could not resolve the H I absorption structure spatially, because we stacked background LBG spectra to increase a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). While the majority of the previous studies for the intervening H I absorption are based on spectroscopy, we can identify the absorption photometrically by a fine-tuned narrow-band imaging in principle. If we focus on H I gas at $z = 3.1$, a filter with a central wavelength of ~ 4980 Å can be used to capture its Ly α absorption. A custom narrow-band filter NB497 has a central wavelength of 4977 Å and a width of 78 Å in Full Width Half Maximum (FWHM) (Hayashino et al. 2004), which limits the spatial resolution for H I gas structure along line-of-sight of ~ 60 comoving Mpc in the $z = 3.1$ Universe. Such a coarse resolution is still enough to investigate the SSA22 large-scale structure because it is expected to spread out $\gtrsim 50$ comoving Mpc.

In this paper, we introduce our new scheme to characterize the $z = 3.1$ H I absorption strength photometrically, and show the results of its application to the multi-band imaging data available in the SSA22 and two control fields. We briefly describe the data used in this study in Section 2, and introduce our method to measure the $z = 3.1$ H I absorption strength in Section 3. We show the results in Section 4, and discuss them in Section 5. We use the AB magnitude system (Oke & Gunn 1983) and adopt a cosmology with $H_0 = 70.4$ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, $\Omega_M = 0.272$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.728$ (Komatsu et al. 2011).

2 DATA

2.1 Imaging data

We collected multi-band imaging data available in the SSA22 field to measure the strength of Ly α absorption caused by the H I gas at $z = 3.09$. Yamada et al. (2012a) conducted *B*, *V*, and NB497 imaging observations with the Suprime-Cam equipped on the Subaru telescope. Among their wide survey coverage, 7 contiguous Suprime-Cam FoVs, we focus on the central FoV (SSA22-Sb1) where background sight-line galaxies at $z \gtrsim 3.2$ are available from rich spectroscopic surveys (section 2.2). There is the density peak of $z = 3.09$ LAEs in the SSA22-Sb1 field, and also the significant density excess of DRGs and SMGs was reported (Tamura et al. 2009; Uchimoto et al. 2012; Kubo et al. 2013; Umehata et al. 2015). We also used following images available in the SSA22-Sb1: Subaru/Suprime-Cam *R*, *i'*, and *z'* band images (Hayashino et al. 2004; Nakamura et al.

2011) and the UKIRT/WFCAM K band image (UKIDSS DR10; Casali et al. 2007; Lawrence et al. 2007). These images are smoothed so as to match the point spread function (PSF) size of bright stars to the FWHM of $1''.1$. For the smoothed images, we measured 5σ limiting magnitudes with $2''.2$ diameter apertures, resulting in $B = 27.1$, $NB497 = 26.4$, $V = 26.8$, $R = 26.5$, $i' = 26.3$, $z' = 25.6$, and $K = 22.9$. We then constructed a multi-band photometry catalog for i' band detected objects. Object extraction was performed on the i' band image using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) version 2.5.0. The $2''.2$ aperture magnitudes were measured in the all band images, where each aperture was centred on the i' band object position. We corrected these magnitudes for the Galactic extinction with $A_B = 0.246$, $A_{NB497} = 0.215$, $A_V = 0.190$, $A_R = 0.154$, $A_i = 0.124$, $A_z = 0.090$, and $A_K = 0.023$. These correction values were estimated for the centre of the SSA22-Sb1 ($\alpha = 22^h17^m33^s$, $\delta = +00^\circ15'08''$ in J2000.0) based on the work by Schlegel et al. (1998), assuming $R_V = A_V/E(B-V) = 3.1$. A spatial variation of $\Delta E(B-V) \sim 0.03$ in the SSA22-Sb1 area may make at most ~ 0.1 mag systematic offsets in our estimates of the H I absorption strength ($\Delta NB497$; see the section 3.2), however which is smaller than an excess of the $\Delta NB497$ measured in the SSA22-Sb1 field (section 4.1).

For a comparison, we also constructed similar multi-band photometry catalogs in the Great Observatory Optical Deep Survey North (GOODS-N; Dickinson et al. 2004) field and the Subaru/XMM-Newton Deep Survey (SXDS; Furusawa et al. 2008) field. In the GOODS-N field, we collected Subaru/Suprime-Cam B , V , and $NB497$ band images from Yamada et al. (2012a) and R , i' , and z' band images from Capak et al. (2004). These images are smoothed so as to match the PSF (FWHM = $1''.2$), and their 5σ limiting magnitudes are $B = 26.8$, $NB497 = 26.7$, $V = 25.9$, $R = 26.3$, $i' = 25.7$, and $z' = 25.4$ with $2''.4$ diameter apertures. We constructed a multi-band photometry catalog for these images in the same manner as the SSA22-Sb1 catalog, where the objects were detected in the V band image and $2''.4$ apertures were used for the photometry. The Galactic extinction corrections, $A_B = 0.048$, $A_{NB497} = 0.042$, $A_V = 0.037$, $A_R = 0.030$, $A_i = 0.024$, and $A_z = 0.018$, were applied, which are estimated at $(\alpha, \delta) = (12^h37^m22^s, \delta = +62^\circ11'33'')$ in J2000 (Schlegel et al. 1998).

In the SXDS field, Subaru/Suprime-Cam B , V , and $NB497$ images are available from Yamada et al. (2012a). They observed three contiguous Suprime-Cam FoVs, SXDS-1C ($\alpha = 2^h18^m00^s, \delta = -5^\circ00'00''$), SXDS-2N ($\alpha = 2^h18^m00^s, \delta = -4^\circ34'59''$), and SXDS-3S ($\alpha = 2^h18^m00^s, \delta = -5^\circ25'01''$). Yamada et al. (2012a) matched their PSF sizes to FWHM $\approx 1''.0$ and measured 5σ limiting magnitudes as $B \approx 27.5$, $NB497 \approx 26.2$, and $V \approx 27.1$ with $2''.2$ diameter apertures. We performed object extraction on the V band image and measured $2''.0$ diameter aperture magnitudes in the B , V , and $NB497$ images. For the R , i' , and z' band photometry, we used a public catalog (Furusawa et al. 2008). Their 5σ limiting magnitudes are $R \approx 27.0$, $i' \approx 26.9$, and $z' \approx 25.8$ with $2''.0$ diameter apertures. We merged our own V band detection catalog and the public catalog using a matching radius of $1''.0$. Here, V band photometry included in the public catalog were used to correct the photometry scheme difference between Furusawa et al. (2008) and ours: we corrected the R , i' , and z' band magnitudes by adding

the public catalog colours ($V-R$, $V-i'$, and $V-z'$) to the V band magnitudes that we measured. All the magnitudes were corrected for the Galactic extinction (Schlegel et al. 1998): $A_B = 0.085/0.077/0.093$, $A_{NB497} = 0.075/0.067/0.082$, $A_V = 0.066/0.059/0.072$, $A_R = 0.056/0.052/0.062$, $A_i = 0.044/0.040/0.048$, and $A_z = 0.031/0.029/0.034$ for the SXDS-1C/2N/3S field, respectively.

2.2 Background light sources at $z \approx 3.4$

Ly α absorption by the $z = 3.1$ H I gas is imprinted in the spectrum of every galaxy behind the gas at wavelength $\lambda \approx 4980$ Å in the observer's rest-frame (hereafter, the observer-frame). This is in the $NB497$ filter wavelength coverage. In this work, we used only galaxies with a spectroscopic redshift of $3.29 \leq z \leq 3.54$ as background light sources to isolate the $z = 3.1$ H I Ly α absorption from interstellar absorption lines of the background galaxies themselves. Wavelengths of the $NB497$ filter (4937.5–5015.7 Å in the observer-frame) shift to a portion of $\lambda = 1087 - 1170$ Å in the $z = 3.29 - 3.54$ galaxy's rest-frame, where N II $\lambda 1084$ and C III $\lambda 1178$ as well as broad wings of Ly α and Ly β of the galaxies themselves do not contaminate.

We gathered galaxies at $z = 3.29 - 3.54$ from the following spectroscopic redshift catalogs. In the SSA22-Sb1 field, we used the catalogs from Steidel et al. (2003), Nestor et al. (2013), Saez et al. (2015), and our own observations using the VLT/VIMOS and Keck/DEIMOS (Faber et al. 2003): VIMOS06 (Kousai 2011), VIMOS08 (Kousai 2011; Hayashino et al. in prep), VIMOS12 (Umehata et al. in prep; Mawatari et al. in prep), DEIMOS08 (Otsuka et al. in prep), and DEIMOS15 (Mawatari et al. in prep). In the GOODS-N field, we used the 3D-HST catalog¹ (Momcheva et al. 2016). In the SXDS field, we used the catalogs from the UDSz project² (Bradshaw et al. 2013; McLure et al. 2013), the 3D-HST (Momcheva et al. 2016), and the project led by Akiyama, Simpson, Croom, Geach, Smail and van Breukelen³ (hereafter, we call the UDS-AGN project; Smail et al. 2008; Simpson et al. 2012; Akiyama et al. 2015). Since redshifts in the 3D-HST catalog which were determined from the combination of the *HST* grism spectra and multi-band photometry have relatively large uncertainty we forced them to satisfy $z_{\min} \geq 3.29$ and $z_{\max} \leq 3.54$, where $z_{\min}$ and $z_{\max}$ are the lower and upper 68% confidence limits for the grism redshifts (Momcheva et al. 2016). We collected 77, 96, and 80 background galaxies at $z = 3.29 - 3.54$ in the SSA22-Sb1, GOODS-N, and SXDS fields, respectively. The colours of the background galaxies in the SSA22-Sb1 field are systematically bluer than those in the two control fields, which is due to the difference in the spectroscopic target selections. In the SSA22-Sb1 field, almost all surveys targeted the UV-selected LBGs and narrow-band selected LAEs which generally have blue UV slope. In the other two fields, however, majority of the background galaxies were collected from the 3D-HST survey which is based on the near-infrared (*HST*/WFC3) slitless spectroscopy and can detect more dusty red galaxies.

¹ <http://3dhst.research.yale.edu/Data.php>

² <http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/astronomy/UDS/UDSz/>

³ <http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/astronomy/UDS/data/data.html>




Figure 1. Number counts of all objects detected in the i' band with a 3σ significance (black dashed histogram), objects detected in all of i , B , z , and K bands with a 3σ significance (cyan dot-dashed histogram), and stars selected in the $z-K$ versus $B-z$ two colour diagram (magenta solid histogram) in the SSA22-Sb1 field. Sub-panel shows objects detected in i , B , z , and K bands (cyan points) and stars (magenta points) in the $z-K$ versus $B-z$ two colour diagram, where black line corresponds to the boundary between galaxies and stars (Daddi et al. 2004).

2.3 Galaxies at $z = 3.1$

We gathered $z = 3.1$ galaxies from literature to investigate the relation between H I gas and galaxies in the $z = 3.1$ Universe. We first collected photometrically selected LAEs. Yamada et al. (2012a) used the NB497 filter to construct a homogeneous sample of LAEs with the rest-frame equivalent width of $EW_0 \gtrsim 50 \text{ \AA}$ at $z = 3.06 - 3.13$ in the three our target fields. We also collected spectroscopically confirmed galaxies at $z = 3.06 - 3.13$. In the SSA22-Sb1 field, we used the spectroscopic redshift catalogs from Steidel et al. (2003), Matsuda et al. (2005), Matsuda et al. (2006), Yamada et al. (2012b), Nestor et al. (2013), Saez et al. (2015), and our own observations: VIMOS06, VIMOS08, VIMOS12, DEIMOS08, DEIMOS15, and the Magellan/IMAX (Dressler et al. 2011) observation (P.I.: M. Ouchi; hereafter, oIMACS). In the GOODS-N field, we used the 3D-HST catalog (Momcheva et al. 2016). In the SXDS field, we used the catalogs from the UDSz project (Bradshaw et al. 2013; McLure et al. 2013), the 3D-HST (Momcheva et al. 2016), and the UDS-AGN project (Smail et al. 2008; Simpson et al. 2012; Akiyama et al. 2015). For the 3D-HST catalog objects, we applied the same manner as adopted in the selection of the background galaxies (section 2.2). These spectroscopically confirmed $z = 3.1$ galaxy samples contain some of the photometrically selected LAEs.

3 ANALYSIS

3.1 Careful calibration of photometric colours

In order to characterize the $z = 3.1$ H I absorption strength photometrically, we need to calibrate photometric measurements carefully. There may be $\gtrsim 0.1$ mag systematic uncertainties on magnitudes and colors caused by the following

two effects. Our photometry using the fixed apertures is very sensitive to the image smoothing which was adopted so that the PSF sizes are matched to each other (section 2.1); there might be systematic offsets in the photometric zero-points among fields because the imaging data used in this work are based on the several observations and reductions by independent research groups. In this section, we adopt corrections for band photometry so that the colour distribution in every field is matched to that in the SXDS-1C field.

Using extragalactic objects is desirable to investigate any difference in colour distributions among the target fields, because it is difficult to know the accurate amount of the Galactic dust extinction for each galactic star without its three-dimensional location. We investigated the fraction of stars in all objects in the SSA22-Sb1 field, where stars were selected in the $B-z$ versus $z-K$ two colour diagram by the same manner as Daddi et al. (2004). The two colour diagram and the number counts of the selected stars and all objects are shown in Figure 1, which shows that at $B > 24$ mag the fraction of stars is at most $\sim 10\%$ and the stars are not likely to change colour distributions significantly. The stellar fraction among all objects should be smaller in the GOODS-N and SXDS fields because these control fields are oriented towards outer parts of the Milky Way compared with the direction of the SSA22-Sb1 field. From these, we neglect the stellar contribution to colour distributions of faint objects with $\gtrsim 24$ mag in all the fields.

Then, we compared various colours corrected for the Galactic extinctions among the SSA22-Sb1, GOODS-N, SXDS-1C, SXDS-2N, and SXDS-3S fields. We carefully selected the magnitude range of objects used for each colour distribution; fainter than 24 mag for rejection of stars and much brighter than the 5σ limiting magnitude for the sampling completeness. We estimated correction magnitudes for the photometric zero-points of the all band images in the all fields to match the $R-i$, $i-z$, $R-z$, $B-R$, $V-R$, $B-V$, $NB497-R$, $B-NB497$, and $NB497-V$ colour distributions to those in the SXDS-1C field. The correction values are typically < 0.1 mag, and 0.16 mag at maximum. The colour distributions after adopting the photometric zero-point corrections are shown in Figure 2.

Since the colour differences in the all fields relative to the reference field (the SXDS-1C field) are minimized, relative photometric uncertainties among the fields are expected to be at most 0.04 mag (corresponding to two bins shifts in Figure 2). On the other hand, we here mention that the set of the correction magnitudes adopted in this work is not a unique solution, and there may be other sets yielding a good match in the colour distributions among the fields. Furthermore, the reference colour distribution in the SXDS-1C field may be slightly different from the actual distributions. In the absolute sense, typically ~ 0.1 mag systematic uncertainty still remains in each band photometry in each field. For example, if we observe galaxies with the same intrinsic SEDs in every field, the observed SEDs are possibly different from the intrinsic one by ~ 0.1 mag but the difference of the observed SEDs relative to that in the reference field should be $\lesssim 0.04$ mag. These absolute and relative uncertainties in the photometry in the observed fields may cause systematic errors in our estimates of the H I absorption strength ($\Delta NB497$; see the following section).




Figure 2. Various colour distributions in the SSA22-Sb1 (red solid histogram), SXDS-1C (green solid histogram, reference), SXDS-3S (black dashed histogram), SXDS-2N (magenta dot-dashed histogram), and GOODS-N (blue solid histogram) fields. The magnitude range of the objects used are shown in the top of each panel. We adopted corrections to the B , V , R , i , and $NB497$ magnitudes so that all colour distributions become consistent among the fields.

Table 1. Summary of background light source numbers.

Field	N_{bkg}^a	N_{bright}^b	N_{use}^c
SSA22-Sb1	77	74	60
GOODS-N	83	47	40
SXDS	70	53	33

^aNumber of galaxies at a spectroscopic redshift $3.29 \leq z \leq 3.54$ as background light sources for $z = 3.1$ $H\text{ I}$ absorption.

^bNumber of the background galaxies which are bright enough to be detected in the B , $NB497$, R , i , and z band images.

^cNumber of the background galaxies used for our discussion, whose SEDs are well fit by the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) model templates with a reduced χ^2 value less than or equal to 2.

3.2 $\Delta NB497$ as a tracer of $z = 3.1$ $H\text{ I}$ absorption

In this section, we introduce our scheme to characterize $\text{Ly}\alpha$ absorption by $z = 3.1$ $H\text{ I}$ gas photometrically. Among the background galaxies at $z = 3.24 - 3.59$ in the SSA22-Sb1, GOODS-N, and SXDS fields, we here focus on the 74, 57, and 61 objects bright enough to be detected in the R , i (with more than 3σ significance), B , z (with more than 2σ signifi-

icance), and $NB497$ (with more than 1σ significance) band images.

We performed a Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) fitting for B , R , i , and z magnitudes of each background galaxy with a public code, Hyperz (Bolzonella et al. 2000). The V band photometry was not used because the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ line of the background galaxies themselves contributes to the V band flux, which is hard to be expected due to scattering and absorption by surrounding $H\text{ I}$ gas and dust. Spectral templates consist of the stellar synthesis models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003) with an exponentially declining star formation rate (SFR; $\tau = 1$ Gyr) and a fixed metallicity ($Z = 0.004$). The IMF was fixed to a Chabrier IMF (Chabrier 2003) with the mass range of $0.1M_{\odot} - 100M_{\odot}$. The template redshift was fixed to the spectroscopic redshift of each background galaxy. Model ages are forced to be less than the age of the Universe at the galaxies' redshift. Dust attenuation A_V was changed from 0 to 3, where the Calzetti et al. (2000) dust attenuation law was adopted. In the Hyperz code, the template flux at a wavelength shorter than $\text{Ly}\alpha$ is attenuated by a mean IGM absorption at a given redshift according to Madau (1995). We have confirmed that the mean IGM attenuation at a wavelength range between the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ and the $\text{Ly}\beta$




Figure 3. An example of our method to characterize the $z = 3.1$ H I absorption. The observed B , $NB497$, and R magnitudes are shown by black circles, where each filter response curve is shown by black curves. The blue spectrum is the best-fit template for the B , R , i , and z band photometry. The observed spectrum and noise for this object are shown by red and grey curves, which were obtained in the VIMOS08 observations. Black crosses and triangles are the filter convolved magnitudes for the best-fit template and observed spectrum. R band filter convolved magnitude for the observed spectrum is not shown because the spectral coverage does not include the whole R band wavelengths.




Figure 4. Comparison of the $\Delta NB497$ estimated from the multi-band photometry and the absorption EW in the observer-frame measured from the spectroscopic data. We used the spectra of 23 galaxies at $z = 3.29 - 3.54$, which were obtained in the VIMOS06 and VIMOS08 observations. Symbol types are divided by the S/N in the DA region of each spectrum ($S/N < 3$: black crosses; $3 < S/N < 7$: cyan triangles; $7 < S/N < 10$: yellow squares; $S/N > 10$: magenta circles), where big filled symbols correspond to the weighted mean values. Black solid curve shows the expected relation: $\Delta NB497 = -2.5 \log(1 - EW/78 \text{ Å})$. Red cross shows the weighted mean value of the 23 galaxies used.

(so called DA range) is consistent with a more recent model (Inoue et al. 2014). We obtained the best-fit template for each background galaxy, from which the $NB497$ magnitude was estimated ($NB497_{\text{temp}}$). Uncertainty in the $NB497_{\text{temp}}$ was estimated from the 1σ confidence interval ($\Delta\chi^2 \leq 2.3$) in the fitting parameter space (A_V versus model age). We measured the magnitude offset between the observed and tem-

plate $NB497$ magnitudes ($\Delta NB497 = NB497_{\text{obs}} - NB497_{\text{temp}}$), which is expected to reflect strength of the $z = 3.1$ H I Ly α absorption relative to the average in the $z = 3.1$ Universe. An example of our method is shown in Figure 3. In the following discussions, we use $\Delta NB497$ estimates of the background galaxies whose SED are well fit by a template with a reduced χ^2 (χ^2_{ν}) < 2 . In other words, we effectively remove AGNs, QSOs, and other exotic types of galaxies whose SEDs are very different from the star-forming model templates from our sample. The numbers of the background galaxies that we finally used are 60, 47, and 40 in the SSA22-Sb1, GOODS-N, and SXDS fields, respectively. Table 1 is a summary of the numbers of the galaxies.

As mentioned in the section 3.1, the $\Delta NB497$ may have systematic errors which are propagated from those in the individual band photometry. We here assume that the possible systematic errors associated with the $\Delta NB497$ is ~ 0.1 mag in the absolute sense and ~ 0.04 mag in a relative sense among the three fields. While in the following we do not include these estimates of systematic errors in the $\Delta NB497$ measurements, we should always be aware of these possible systematic uncertainties.

We checked the reliability of our $\Delta NB497$ scheme using spectra of some background galaxies in the SSA22-Sb1 fields. Our previous VLT/VIMOS observations (VIMOS06 and VIMOS08; Kousai 2011; Hayashino et al. in prep) allows us to investigate H I Ly α absorption for individual spectra of some background galaxies. We measured the EWs of the $z = 3.1$ H I Ly α absorption in the available VIMOS spectra, where the absorption flux was estimated in the $NB497$ wavelength range and the continuum was estimated by averaging flux in the DA range except for the $NB497$ coverage in the observer-frame. The relation between $\Delta NB497$ and observer-frame EW can be described in an analytical form as $\Delta NB497 = -2.5 \log(1 - EW/78 \text{ Å})$, where we assume that only $z = 3.1$ H I absorption deviates from the cosmic average in the redshift range corresponding to the $NB497$ filter coverage (FWHM = 78 Å). Figure 4 shows the comparison between the $z = 3.1$ H I absorption EWs measured spectroscopically and their $\Delta NB497$. In spite of the large uncertainties especially in the spectroscopic EWs, a better correlation can be seen for the background galaxies whose spectra have a higher S/N ($\gtrsim 10$). We confirmed that the weighted mean values for subsamples divided by the spectral S/N are consistent with the expected relation except for the lowest S/N subsample. These suggests that we reasonably trace the absorption strength with the $NB497$ photometry and the continuum level with the best-fit model templates as shown in Figure 3.

The background sight-line galaxies in the SSA22-Sb1 field have bluer SEDs than those in the two control fields as mentioned in the section 2.2, which possibly causes a bias in the $\Delta NB497$ measurements. We constructed a $z \approx 3.4$ mock galaxy sample to investigate the dependency of the $\Delta NB497$ on the background galaxy SEDs. The mock galaxy SEDs were generated from the redshifted Bruzual & Charlot (2003) model templates with different $R - z$ colours. Each model template spectrum was normalized so that the R band magnitude becomes the typical value of the observed background galaxies ($R = 25$ mag), and the each band magnitude was randomly extracted from a Gaussian probability distribution with the normalized model magnitude as the




Figure 5. Left panel shows the ΔNB497 values as a function of the B band magnitudes in the SSA22-Sb1 (red open circles), GOODS-N (blue open triangles), and SXDS (green open squares) fields. Big filled symbols correspond to the weighted mean value in each field. Right panel shows the number histogram of the ΔNB497 (SSA22-Sb1: red solid histogram; SXDS: green dashed histogram; GOODS-N: blue dot-dashed histogram).




Figure 6. Cumulative fraction histogram for the ΔNB497 values in the three fields.

mean and the typical photometric uncertainty (~ 0.1 mag) as the standard deviation. We performed the SED fitting for the mock galaxies and estimated the ΔNB497 with the same manner as described above. The resultant ΔNB497 values distribute around zero and show no dependency on the input SED colours, which supports that our ΔNB497 scheme properly evaluates the strength of $z = 3.1$ $H\text{ I}$ absorption relative to the cosmic average.

4 RESULT

4.1 $H\text{ I}$ absorption enhancement over the entire SSA22-Sb1 field

First, we investigate field-to-field variance in the ΔNB497 measurements. Figure 5 shows the ΔNB497 values as a function of the B band magnitudes of the background sight-line galaxies. The ΔNB497 values in the SSA22-Sb1 field are sys-

tematically larger than those in the GOODS-N and SXDS fields, which suggests an $H\text{ I}$ gas excess in the SSA22-Sb1 field. Weighted mean ΔNB497 values, where we used the inverse of the uncertainty as the weight, are 0.22 ± 0.02 , -0.01 ± 0.03 , and -0.07 ± 0.04 in the SSA22-Sb1, GOODS-N, and SXDS fields, respectively, where we adopt larger one between the internal and external errors as the uncertainty. Even after taking account of the possible relative systematic uncertainties of ~ 0.04 mag among the three fields (see the section 3.2), the excess of the ΔNB497 in the SSA22-Sb1 field compared to the other fields is still significant. The negative ΔNB497 values in the SXDS field is less significant, and we cannot claim from only the current data that the $H\text{ I}$ absorption is suppressed in the SXDS field relative to the cosmic average. The background sight-line galaxies in the GOODS-N and SXDS fields are systematically fainter in the B band, which causes larger ΔNB497 uncertainties but is less likely to cause the ΔNB497 difference among the fields. Figure 6 shows cumulative histograms for the ΔNB497 values in the three fields. We found a clear difference of the SSA22-Sb1 from the other two fields. We applied a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test to check the difference of the ΔNB497 values. Resultant K-S probabilities are 6.7×10^{-7} in the SSA22-Sb1 versus GOODS-N, 2.6×10^{-8} in the SSA22-Sb1 versus SXDS, and 0.69 in the GOODS-N versus SXDS. A null hypothesis that the ΔNB497 values in the SSA22-Sb1 field are extracted from the same mother sample as those in the other two fields is significantly rejected, while the ΔNB497 distributions in the GOODS-N and SXDS fields are very consistent.

Since we used faint star-forming galaxies as the background light sources, we achieved a high spatial resolution of sight-lines for $z = 3.1$ $H\text{ I}$ absorption: mean separations of the background sight-line galaxies are $\sim 2' - 3'$ or $\sim 4.1 - 5.5$ comoving Mpc at $z = 3.1$. We visualized two-dimensional sky maps of the $z = 3.1$ $H\text{ I}$ absorption strength, where each ΔNB497 is smoothed by a Gaussian kernel with $\sigma = 3'$ and divided by the smoothed sight-line number density. Figures 7 show the two-dimensional smoothed ΔNB497 maps as well as sky distributions of the background sight-line galaxies. We only show the smoothed ΔNB497 maps where the smoothed number density of background sight-line galaxies is more than 150 degree^{-2} . In Figures 7, contour lines show the local number density of photometric LAEs (Yamada et al. 2012a) obtained after being smoothed by a Gaussian kernel with $\sigma = 1'.5$. We can see the large scale ΔNB497 excess in the SSA22-Sb1 field, which extends to ~ 50 comoving Mpc in the $z = 3.1$ Universe. On the other hand, no clear spatial alignment between the smoothed ΔNB497 and $z = 3.1$ LAE number density can be seen at least by eyes in all the fields.

4.2 ΔNB497 as a function of environment

In this section, we investigate strength of the $z = 3.1$ $H\text{ I}$ absorption, ΔNB497 , as a function of environment around each sight-line. Photometric LAEs are considered to be trace environment although it may deviate from the real shape of underlying dark matter structure due to a small duty cycle of LAEs ($\sim 1\%$; Ouchi et al. 2010). We estimated the LAE overdensity, $\delta_{\text{LAE}} = (n_{\text{LAE}} - \bar{n})/\bar{n}$, at the position of each background sight-line, where we adopted the average LAE number density in general fields reported by Yamada et al. (2012a) of $\bar{n} = 0.2 \text{ arcmin}^{-2}$ and the LAE local number den-




Figure 7. Sky distribution of the background sight-line galaxies in the SSA22-Sb1, GOODS-N, and SXDS fields are shown by circles, where the symbol colours indicate the ΔNB497 values ($\Delta\text{NB497} > 0.2$: red; $-0.2 < \Delta\text{NB497} < 0.2$: green; $\Delta\text{NB497} < -0.2$: blue). Colour map shows the ΔNB497 distribution; the ΔNB497 values for every background sight-line galaxy were smoothed by a Gaussian kernel with $\sigma = 3'$ and divided by the smoothed sight-line number density. Black contours show the smoothed and normalized number density of the photometric LAEs: $0.5\times$ (dashed), $1\times$ (thick), $2\times$, $3\times$, $4\times$, and $5\times$ the average density (0.2 arcmin^{-2} ; Yamada et al. 2012a).

sity (n_{LAE}) smoothed with a $\sigma = 1'.5$ gaussian kernel. Figure 8 shows the ΔNB497 values as a function of δ_{LAE} for the background sight-lines in all the fields. SSA22-Sb1 field contains high density regions with $\delta_{\text{LAE}} \gtrsim 2$ compared with the other two control fields. The weighted mean values for the three fields (big crosses in Figure 8) suggest a trend that the average ΔNB497 becomes higher with a larger average LAE overdensity. The negative value of the ΔNB497 in the SXDS field may be explained by the negative LAE overdensity, as well as by possible systematic errors (see the section 4.1). However, such a trend between the LAE overdensity and the ΔNB497 cannot be found if we look at only the data of the SSA22-Sb1 field. We took every 10 data points in the SSA22-Sb1 field along the LAE overdensity and calculated their weighted mean ΔNB497 values (filled circles in Figure 8). The binned ΔNB497 averages flatly distribute along the LAE overdensity, and even at the smallest δ_{LAE} bin, the

ΔNB497 value in the SSA22-Sb1 field is significantly larger than those in the other two control fields.

The resolution scale on environment traced by LAEs is roughly equal to the mean separation of the LAEs, which is ~ 3 comoving Mpc in the $z = 3.1$ Universe. The strength of H I absorption may be more sensitive to a smaller scale: H I gas abundance in the CGM. We selected the nearest galaxy at $z = 3.1$ from each background sight-line and measured their projected distance (impact parameter: b). Figure 9 shows the ΔNB497 values as a function of the impact parameter. We also took every five data points in each field along the impact parameter and calculated their weighted mean ΔNB497 (filled symbols in Figure 9). We only look at the distance less than 430 physical kpc, which is an average impact parameter for star-forming galaxies with $M_{\text{UV}} < -20$ at $3.06 < z < 3.13$ expected from UV luminosity functions of Reddy & Steidel (2009) and Sawicki & Thompson (2006).




Figure 8. ΔNB497 as a function of the LAE overdensity. Red circles, blue triangles, and green squares show the sight-lines in the SSA22-Sb1, GOODS-N, and SXDS fields, respectively. Big crosses correspond to the weighted mean value in each field. Big filled circles are the weighted mean values for every 10 data points along the LAE overdensity in the SSA22-Sb1 field.




Figure 9. ΔNB497 as a function of distance from the nearest $z = 3.1$ object. Red circles, blue triangles, and green squares show the sight-lines in the SSA22-Sb1, GOODS-N, and SXDS field, respectively. Big filled symbols correspond to the weighted mean values for every five data points in each field. The magenta dashed curve shows the $b - \Delta\text{NB497}$ relation estimated from the H I absorption EWs of Rakic et al. (2012), where we assumed the simple analytic form as $\Delta\text{NB497} = -2.5 \log\{1 - \text{EW}_{\text{rest}}^{\text{abs}} \times (1 + 3.1)/78 \text{ \AA}\}$.

There may be nearer but unobserved galaxies at $z = 3.1$ for the background sight-lines with large impact parameters of $b > 430$ physical kpc. In Figure 9, the ΔNB497 seems to be enhanced at the smallest impact parameter ($b < 100$ physical kpc) at least in the SSA22-Sb1 field, which can be interpreted as the effect of H I gas halos associated with these nearest galaxies. In the control fields, such a ΔNB497 enhancement at $b < 100$ physical kpc is unclear due to a small number of the data points. At a larger impact parameter, the ΔNB497 values in the SSA22-Sb1 field seem to be constant and significantly above zero, which is contrast to the ΔNB497 distribution in the two control fields.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 What drives the large-scale difference in the H I absorption?

We obtained the notable result that the Ly α absorption by the H I gas at $z = 3.1$ over the entire SSA22-Sb1 field is stronger than that in the control fields. Interestingly, the ΔNB497 estimates are not correlated with the local (~ 3 comoving Mpc scale) LAE overdensity or the impact parameter if > 100 physical kpc. A remarkable difference between the SSA22 and the two control fields is that the former field contains the prominent density excess of various types of galaxies (Steidel et al. 1998; Hayashino et al. 2004; Uchimoto et al. 2012; Kubo et al. 2013; Umehata et al. 2015). Since an expected average impact parameter between random sight-lines and $z = 3.1$ galaxies in such a high density region should be smaller than that in the normal density region (~ 430 physical kpc), the ΔNB497 excess at $b \gtrsim 200$ physical kpc in the SSA22-Sb1 field might be explained by the CGM H I of unobserved galaxies. If the H I absorption enhancement is completely due to the individual galaxies’ CGM, the ΔNB497 is likely to correlate with the local overdensity of galaxies, which is, however, inconsistent with our result (Figure 8). A simple and plausible interpretation of our results is that the extended H I gas, which is different from H I gas clouds associated with each galaxy CGM, distributes only in the SSA22 field. Yamada et al. (2012a) argued that the belt-like LAE overdense structure is an ancestor of local superclusters or “Great Wall” rather than a single cluster. In the local superclusters, there is the warm-hot intergalactic medium (WHIM) with $T = 10^{5.5} - 10^7$ K (Zappacosta et al. 2005; Buote et al. 2009). These WHIM is expected to be formed by the shock heating of baryonic matter infalling to the dark matter large-scale structure, where galaxies are also formed. The diffuse H I gas structure revealed by this work may be in the pre-heated phase of the WHIM.

No correlation between the ΔNB497 and the local LAE overdensity in the SSA22-Sb1 field (Figure 8) can be explained, at least in the LAE highest density region (LAE overdensity $\gtrsim 3$; see the $4\times$ the average number density contour in Figure 7), by a scenario that radiation from galaxies in the overdense region ionizes the gas and reduces the H I amount. Otherwise, hydrogen gas amount is independent of the galaxy local overdensity. Lee et al. (2016) also found a compact (spatial extent $\lesssim 5$ comoving Mpc) galaxy overdensity structure at $z = 2.3$ with no IGM H I absorption enhancement in their three dimensional tomographic map. They argued that no excess of the H I absorption is due to a lack of the IGM hydrogen gas in/around the galaxy overdensity, while a possibility of suppression of the H I absorption by galaxy feedback or a hot intra-cluster medium (ICM) was also mentioned. They expected that this compact galaxy overdensity evolves to a galaxy group at $z = 0$, not to a cluster. Since the galaxy overdensity found in Lee et al. (2016) and the LAE highest density region in the SSA22-Sb1 field are different in the spatial size and the galaxy population, it is difficult to compare them directly. However, the non-zero H I absorption and large-scale galaxy overdensity in the SSA22-Sb1 field seems to support a picture that the LAE highest density region, which eventually grows into a massive cluster at $z = 0$, contains a significant amount of




Figure 10. Same as Figure 9, but we divide the sight-line data points by types of the nearest $z = 3.1$ galaxies: left panel for LAEs ($EW_{\text{rest}}^{\text{em}} \gtrsim 50 \text{ \AA}$) as the foreground and right panel for galaxies without strong $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission ($EW_{\text{rest}}^{\text{em}} \lesssim 50 \text{ \AA}$) as the foreground. Big yellow crosses correspond to the weighted mean value for every five data points, where we do not divide the sample by the fields.

the hydrogen gas which is partially ionized by the galaxy feedback.

The size of the H I gas overdensity structure in the SSA22-Sb1 field revealed by this work is $\gtrsim 50$ comoving Mpc because the positive ΔNB497 values are detected over the entire survey area. This size seems to be larger than that of LAE overdensity (~ 50 comoving Mpc from Yamada et al. 2012a). The H I structure may generally be extended more than the galaxy structure, because galaxies formed in the middle of the gas structure. The size of the SSA22 H I large-scale structure, $\gtrsim 50$ comoving Mpc, is large, but there are some further larger structures in the lower- z Universe. A traditionally famous large-scale structure is CfA2 Great Wall, which extends at least ~ 200 Mpc (Geller & Huchra 1989). The largest structure at $z = 0$ is the Sloan Great Wall, which extends over 400 Mpc and contains several superclusters consisting of tens of galaxy clusters (Gott et al. 2005). At $z \sim 1$, a larger structure traced by QSOs have been found (Clowes et al. 2013), which reaches ≥ 500 Mpc in length. Future applications of our ΔNB497 method to much wider area data are needed to determine the actual extent of the SSA22 super-structure and to reveal the relation between it and these low- z largest structures. If the structure is larger than the upper limit in the scale for cosmological homogeneity (e.g., 370 comoving Mpc from Yadav et al. 2010), this largest structure ever found in the $z = 3.1$ Universe may challenge the cosmological principle.

5.2 H I absorption halos around $z = 3.1$ galaxies

The ΔNB497 estimates are also useful to investigate the radial profile of the H I absorption halo in the galaxy CGM. We found an excess of the ΔNB497 at the impact parameter < 100 physical kpc as shown in Figure 9, while it is less significant in the control fields. Not only a large scale integration of H I absorption along sight-line (the NB497 FWHM corresponds to ~ 60 comoving Mpc at $z = 3.1$) but also different types of galaxies could obscure the correlation




Figure 11. ΔNB497 as a function of $BV - \text{NB497}$ colour of the nearest $z = 3.1$ object, where only sight-lines with distance from the nearest $z = 3.1$ object less than 100 physical kpc are used.

between the ΔNB497 and the impact parameter. Especially, LAEs are expected to have different H I gas properties from other populations of galaxies because the hydrogen density, neutrality, and the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ photon escape fraction are complicatedly connected each other. We divided the galaxies at $z = 3.1$ into two subsamples: LAEs reported in Yamada et al. (2012a) and other spectroscopically confirmed galaxies. The LAEs of Yamada et al. (2012a) were selected basically by the $BV - \text{NB497}$ colour, where the BV is magnitude measured in the $(2B + V)/3$ composite image. The colour criterion of $BV - \text{NB497} \geq 1$ roughly corresponds to $EW_{\text{rest}}^{\text{em}} \gtrsim 50 \text{ \AA}$. Galaxies which are spectroscopically confirmed as $z = 3.1$ but not selected in Yamada et al. (2012a) are expected to have weak or no $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission ($EW_{\text{rest}}^{\text{em}} \lesssim 50 \text{ \AA}$). In the left panel of Figure 10 we show the ΔNB497 of the background sight-line galaxies for which the nearest $z = 3.1$ galaxies are the LAEs, while those for which the nearest galaxies are the weak $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission galaxies are shown in the right panel. We also show the weighted mean values for every five data points independent of the fields in Figure 10. In the case that the nearest galaxy have a strong $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission line, we cannot see the increment of the ΔNB497 at $b \lesssim 100$ physical kpc, while the number of the data points is still limited. A flat ΔNB497 distribution above zero is seen in the SSA22-Sb1 field, which can be due to the large-scale diffuse H I gas unique in the overdensity environment (section 5.1). No excess of the ΔNB497 at the impact parameter < 100 physical kpc from the foreground LAEs is in contrast with an excess there for the weak $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission galaxies. This difference suggests that LAEs have thinner H I absorption halos than other star-forming galaxies like LBGs.

We further investigated the relation between strength of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission line from the $z = 3.1$ galaxies and the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ absorption by the surrounding H I halos. Figure 11 shows the ΔNB497 as a function of the $BV - \text{NB497}$ colour of the nearest $z = 3.1$ galaxy, where we used only the background sight-lines for which the nearest foreground galaxies lies within $b < 100$ physical kpc. We can see a trend that the H I absorption in the CGM is weaker for foreground galaxies with a larger $BV - \text{NB497}$ or stronger $\text{Ly}\alpha$ emission. This trend may be due to the small halo mass of the LAEs. Since

LAEs have smaller stellar mass ($\sim 10^6 - 10^9 M_\odot$; Ono et al. 2010) than continuum-selected galaxies (e.g., LBGs), their halo mass and radius are expected to be smaller in both dark matter and H I. The observed anti-correlation between the $\Delta NB497$ and the $BV - NB497$ of the foreground galaxies may also suggest an anti-correlation between the Ly α escape fraction and the neutrality of the CGM hydrogen gas. Future spectroscopic observations of the CGM metal absorption lines may be complementary because metal absorption have different dependency on the hydrogen neutrality or gas temperature.

Previous spectroscopic studies for $z \approx 2 - 3$ galaxies' CGM showed a clear trend that EW of the H I Ly α absorption decreases with increasing impact parameter, which is well fit by $EW_{\text{rest}}^{\text{abs}} = 0.11(b/\text{Mpc})^{-0.76} + 0.25$ (Steidel et al. 2010; Rakic et al. 2012). The EWs of the $z = 3.1$ H I absorption can be converted to the $\Delta NB497$ by the analytic form of $\Delta NB497 = -2.5 \log\{1 - EW_{\text{rest}}^{\text{abs}} \times (1 + 3.1)/78\text{\AA}\}$. This conversion formula should be correct if the wavelength range used for calculation of the absorption in the EW and $\Delta NB497$ estimations is same, as shown in Figure 4. Even if the absorption width assumed in the spectroscopic EW estimation is narrower than that in the photometric $\Delta NB497$ estimation, which is a typical case, the conversion formula can be adopted in general fields where galaxies and H I gas distribute randomly along a line-of-sight. We superpose the $\Delta NB497$ estimated from the $b - \text{EW}$ relation of Rakic et al. (2012) on our own measurements in Figure 9. We have assumed no evolution of the CGM properties from $z \sim 2.4$ where Rakic et al. (2012) investigated to $z = 3.1$. The $\Delta NB497$ expected from Rakic et al. (2012) is less than 0.1 mag at $b \gtrsim 50$ physical kpc, which may be consistent with our $\Delta NB497$ distributions around zero with large uncertainties in the two control fields. On the other hand, the $\Delta NB497$ values in the SSA22-Sb1 field seem to be larger than those expected from Rakic et al. (2012), at least by a factor of 5 at almost all impact parameters. Even if we add 0.2 mag to the $\Delta NB497$ of Rakic et al. (2012) taking account of the diffuse IGM H I component unique in the SSA22 field, there still seems to be a $\Delta NB497$ excess at $b \lesssim 100$ physical kpc relative to the previous studies. This suggests that larger amount of H I gas lies not only in the IGM but also in the CGM in the SSA22 proto-cluster than in the general region. A significant environmental dependency of the scale length of the Ly α emitting halos associated with the LBGs and LAEs at $2 \lesssim z \lesssim 3$ has been reported (Matsuda et al. 2012; Momose et al. 2016), where the scale length in the proto-cluster environment is larger than that in the general fields by a factor of ~ 3 . If the CGM H I absorption halos have the similar trend, it may explain the $\Delta NB497$ enhancement in the SSA22 field relative to the previous studies, which should be confirmed by future spectroscopic follow-up observations.

6 SUMMARY

In this work, we developed a new scheme, the “ $\Delta NB497$ ” method, to characterize strength of Ly α absorption by the $z = 3.1$ H I gas photometrically. We applied the scheme to the multi-band photometry in the SSA22-Sb1 field and the two control fields after a careful calibration of photometric

colours and a careful selection of the background sight-line galaxies. Our main results are as follows.

- The $\Delta NB497$ estimates are reasonably correlated with the absorption EWs measured using high S/N spectra, which supports the reliability of our scheme.
- The $\Delta NB497$ values in the SSA22-Sb1 field are significantly larger than those measured in the two independent control fields.
- The $\Delta NB497$ enhancement is detected over the entire SSA22-Sb1 field, suggesting that the H I overdensity structure spreads out $\gtrsim 50$ comoving Mpc. The size is larger than the LAE overdensity structure (~ 50 comoving Mpc from Yamada et al. 2012a).
- No clear dependency of the $\Delta NB497$ on the local LAE overdensity in a few comoving Mpc scale was found, while the field-averaged (~ 50 comoving Mpc scale) quantities are correlated.
- In the SSA22-Sb1 field, a significant $\Delta NB497$ excess was detected even at a large distance from the nearest $z = 3.1$ galaxies (impact parameter $b \gtrsim 200$ physical kpc).
- There seems to be the diffuse and large-scale H I gas component lying in/around the SSA22 proto-cluster, which is independent of the individual galaxy CGM.
- An excess of the $\Delta NB497$ at the impact parameter $b \lesssim 100$ physical kpc was found at least in the SSA22-Sb1 field, which is considered to be due to the individual CGM H I gas.
- The H I absorption is weaker in the CGM of strong LAEs, suggesting that the Ly α escape fraction from galaxies depends on hydrogen neutrality in the CGM.
- The $\Delta NB497$ values at $b < 100$ physical kpc in the SSA22-Sb1 field are larger than those expected from the previous studies even after taking account of the diffuse H I component in the IGM, which implies that the CGM absorption halos have the larger scale length in the proto-cluster environment.

Wider-field multi-band photometry is needed to determine the actual extent of the H I overdensity structure and to investigate relationship between the SSA22 superstructure and the local largest structure (e.g., Great Wall). Spectroscopic observations for the IGM metal absorptions using next-generation instruments (Subaru/PFS or TMT) will help us to understand physical mechanism responsible for the difference of the H I absorption strength depending on the foreground galaxy types.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

K. M. and A. K. I. are financially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26287034. H. U. is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up (16H06713).

REFERENCES

- Adelberger, K. L., Steidel, C. C., Shapley, A. E., & Pettini, M. 2003, *ApJ*, 584, 45
- Adelberger, K. L., Shapley, A. E., Steidel, C. C., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 629, 636

- Akiyama, M., Ueda, Y., Watson, M. G., et al. 2015, PASJ, 67, 82
- Aguirre, A., & Schaye, J. 2007, EAS Publications Series, 24, 165
- Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, A&AS, 117, 393
- Bolzonella, M., Miralles, J.-M., & Pelló, R. 2000, A&A, 363, 476
- Bradshaw, E. J., Almaini, O., Hartley, W. G., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 433, 194
- Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000
- Buote, D. A., Zappacosta, L., Fang, T., et al. 2009, ApJ, 695, 1351
- Cai, Z., Fan, X., Yang, Y., et al. 2016, arXiv:1609.04021
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, 682
- Capak, P., Cowie, L. L., Hu, E. M., et al. 2004, AJ, 127, 180
- Casali, M., Adamson, A., Alves de Oliveira, C., et al. 2007, A&A, 467, 777
- Cen, R., & Chisari, N. E. 2011, ApJ, 731, 11
- Chabrier, G. 2003, PASP, 115, 763
- Clowes, R. G., Harris, K. A., Raghunathan, S., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 429, 2910
- Crighton, N. H. M., Hennawi, J. F., Simcoe, R. A., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 446, 18
- Cucciati, O., Zamorani, G., Lemaux, B. C., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, A16
- Daddi, E., Cimatti, A., Renzini, A., et al. 2004, ApJ, 617, 746
- Daddi, E., Elbaz, D., Walter, F., et al. 2010, ApJL, 714, L118
- Davis, M., Efstathiou, G., Frenk, C. S., & White, S. D. M. 1985, ApJ, 292, 371
- Dickinson, M., Stern, D., Giavalisco, M., et al. 2004, ApJL, 600, L99
- Dressler, A., Bigelow, B., Hare, T., et al. 2011, PASP, 123, 288
- Faber, S. M., Phillips, A. C., Kibrick, R. I., et al. 2003, Proc. SPIE, 4841, 1657
- Furusawa, H., Kosugi, G., Akiyama, M., et al. 2008, ApJS, 176, 1-18
- Geller, M. J., & Huchra, J. P. 1989, Science, 246, 897
- Genzel, R., Tacconi, L. J., Gracia-Carpio, J., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 407, 2091
- Gott, J. R., III, Jurić, M., Schlegel, D., et al. 2005, ApJ, 624, 463
- Hayashino, T., Matsuda, Y., Tamura, H., et al. 2004, AJ, 128, 2073
- Inoue, A. K., Shimizu, I., Iwata, I., & Tanaka, M. 2014, MNRAS, 442, 1805
- Kobayashi, C., Springel, V., & White, S. D. M. 2007, MNRAS, 376, 1465
- Komatsu, E., Smith, K. M., Dunkley, J., et al. 2011, ApJS, 192, 18
- Kousai, K., 2011, PhD thesis, Tohoku University
- Kubo, M., Yamada, T., Ichikawa, T., et al. 2015, ApJ, 799, 38
- Kubo, M., Uchimoto, Y. K., Yamada, T., et al. 2013, ApJ, 778, 170
- Lawrence, A., Warren, S. J., Almaini, O., et al. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 1599
- Le Fèvre, O., Saisse, M., Mancini, D., et al. 2003, Proc. SPIE, 4841, 1670
- Lee, K.-G., Hennawi, J. F., Stark, C., et al. 2014, ApJL, 795, L12
- Lee, K.-G., Hennawi, J. F., White, M., et al. 2016, ApJ, 817, 160
- Madau, P. 1995, ApJ, 441, 18
- Madau, P., & Dickinson, M. 2014, ARA&A, 52, 415
- Matsuda, Y., Yamada, T., Hayashino, T., et al. 2005, ApJ, 634, L125
- Matsuda, Y., Yamada, T., Hayashino, T., Yamauchi, R., & Nakamura, Y. 2006, ApJL, 640, L123
- Matsuda, Y., Yamada, T., Hayashino, T., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 425, 878
- Mawatari, K., Inoue, A. K., Kousai, K., et al. 2016, ApJ, 817, 161
- McLure, R. J., Pearce, H. J., Dunlop, J. S., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 428, 1088
- Miyazaki, S., Komiyama, Y., Sekiguchi, M., et al. 2002, PASJ, 54, 833
- Mo, H., van den Bosch, F. C., & White, S. 2010, Galaxy Formation and Evolution, by Houjun Mo, Frank van den Bosch, Simon White, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2010,
- Momcheva, I. G., Brammer, G. B., van Dokkum, P. G., et al. 2016, ApJS, 225, 27
- Momose, R., Ouchi, M., Nakajima, K., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 457, 2318
- Mukae, S., Ouchi, M., Kakiichi, K., et al. 2016, arXiv:1605.00379
- Nakamura, E., Inoue, A. K., Hayashino, T., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 412, 2579
- Nestor, D. B., Shapley, A. E., Kornei, K. A., Steidel, C. C., & Siana, B. 2013, ApJ, 765, 47
- Oke, J. B. & Gunn, J. E., 1983, ApJ, 266, 713
- Ono, Y., Ouchi, M., Shimasaku, K., et al. 2010, ApJ, 724, 1524
- Ouchi, M., Shimasaku, K., Furusawa, H., et al. 2010, ApJ, 723, 869
- Rakic, O., Schaye, J., Steidel, C. C., & Rudie, G. C. 2012, ApJ, 751, 94
- Reddy, N. A., & Steidel, C. C. 2009, ApJ, 692, 778
- Rudie, G. C., Steidel, C. C., Trainor, R. F., et al. 2012, ApJ, 750, 67
- Saez, C., Lehmer, B. D., Bauer, F. E., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 450, 2615
- Santini, P., Fontana, A., Grazian, A., et al. 2009, A&A, 504, 751
- Sawicki, M., & Thompson, D. 2006, ApJ, 642, 653
- Scannapieco, E., Ferrara, A., & Madau, P. 2002, ApJ, 574, 590
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Simcoe, R. A., Sargent, W. L. W., Rauch, M., & Becker, G. 2006, ApJ, 637, 648
- Simpson, C., Rawlings, S., Ivison, R., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 3060
- Smail, I., Sharp, R., Swinbank, A. M., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 389, 407
- Smit, R., Bouwens, R. J., Franx, M., et al. 2012, ApJ, 756, 14
- Springel, V., White, S. D. M., Jenkins, A., et al. 2005, Nature, 435, 629

- Stark, C. W., White, M., Lee, K.-G., & Hennawi, J. F. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 311
- Steidel, C. C., Adelberger, K. L., Dickinson, M., et al. 1998, ApJ, 492, 428
- Steidel, C. C., Adelberger, K. L., Shapley, A. E., et al. 2003, ApJ, 592, 728
- Steidel, C. C., Erb, D. K., Shapley, A. E., et al. 2010, ApJ, 717, 289
- Tamura, Y., Kohno, K., Nakanishi, K., et al. 2009, Nature, 459, 61
- Topping, M. W., Shapley, A. E., & Steidel, C. C. 2016, ApJL, 824, L11
- Tremonti, C. A., Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G., et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 898
- Turner, M. L., Schaye, J., Steidel, C. C., Rudie, G. C., & Strom, A. L. 2014, MNRAS, 445, 794
- Turner, M. L., Schaye, J., Crain, R. A., Theuns, T., & Wendt, M. 2016, MNRAS, 462, 2440
- Uchimoto, Y. K., Yamada, T., Kajisawa, M., et al. 2012, ApJ, 750, 116
- Umehata, H., Tamura, Y., Kohno, K., et al. 2015, ApJL, 815, L8
- Umehata, H., Tamura, Y., Kohno, K., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 440, 3462
- White, S. D. M., & Rees, M. J. 1978, MNRAS, 183, 341
- Yadav, J. K., Bagla, J. S., & Khandai, N. 2010, MNRAS, 405, 2009
- Yamada, T., Nakamura, Y., Matsuda, Y., et al. 2012a, AJ, 143, 79
- Yamada, T., Matsuda, Y., Kousai, K., et al. 2012b, ApJ, 751, 29
- Zappacosta, L., Maiolino, R., Mannucci, F., Gilli, R., & Schuecker, P. 2005, MNRAS, 357, 929

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.